



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/001,672	10/31/2001	Yutaka Sasaki	P/1071-1480	3186		
32172 7:	590 02/28/2003					
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL.			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			JONES, ST	JONES, STEPHEN E		
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			. 2817			
•			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	-	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/001,672		SASAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Stephen E. Jone	:s	2817			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
	nmunication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is FIN	,—	his action is non-f					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	o nonding in the applicatio						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/a							
7)	•		-	•			
Application Papers	ubject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ient.				
_	objected to by the Examine	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed			ed to by the Evar	miner	,		
,	equest that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawin					r.		
	ed drawings are required in re			Tod by the Endition			
<u> </u>							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
•	-						
<u> </u>	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (P Notice of Draftsperson's Paten Information Disclosure Statem	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) _ Art Unit: 2817 _ _ _

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

SPECIES	<u>FIGURES</u>
I.	1-2;
II.	3;
III.	4;
IV.	5;
V.	6;
VI.	7-9; and
VII.	12.

A telephone call was made to Edward Meilman on 2/25/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that claims 1 and 6 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/001,672

Art Unit: 2817

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,672

-Art Unit:-2817- - - - -

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen E. Jones whose telephone number is 703-305-0390. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal can be reached on 703-308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6251 for regular communications and 703-308-6251 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

SEJ

February 25, 2003

Robert 9ascal

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Conter 1800